

Question Number	Requesting Vendor (Alphabetically)	Questions/Answers
1.	365Labs	To facilitate the County’s review by limiting cross-references within our response, will the County allow for relevant information to be inserted in-line with a given question, rather than requiring separate attachments?
	County:	We prefer all submitting proposals to follow the instructions given. In this case, please provide answers on separate attachments.
2.	CentralSquare	<p>Regarding item C.1.2 CJIS Court – Journal Technologies (bidirectional) in the Interfaces tab of Chapter 4, please provide the following information for this interface:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please provide details on the data elements expected from RMS and JMS. • Please provide details on the data elements expected to be returned to RMS and JMS from Court. • What is the data format and communication expectations (XML, JSON, Web Services)? • What are the triggers for sending data from RMS or JMS to Courts? • Does Journal Technologies provide an API for this interface? If so, can a copy be provided for evaluation to help respond to the interface requirements?
	County:	Please review the attached documents; “Courts Interface 2015” and “Custodial Facility Data Meeting Handouts”.
3.	CentralSquare	<p>Regarding item C.1.4 RMS and JMS to EJUS (bidirectional), please provide the following information for this interface:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please provide explanation of general workflow between RMS, JMS, and EJUS. • Please provide details on the data elements expected from RMS and Jail. • Please provide details on the data elements expected to be returned to the RMS and JMS from EJUS. • What is the data format? • What are the triggers for sending data from RMS or JMS to EJUS?
	County:	Please review the attached documents; “Tiburon connection to EJUS” and “Tiburon to EJUS MNI and Integration points”.
4.	CentralSquare	Please provide the number of jail users.
	County:	50 plus sworn personnel (booking inmates).
5.	CentralSquare	How many sworn officers will utilize the RMS?
	County:	294.
6.	Hexagon	1. Chapter 1, Overview, Proposal Format (page 1-4): In Section 10, item C, can the County clarify if these are only for pricing-related exceptions? Or is this where the County wants all proposal exceptions to be included?

Marin County
RMS/JMS RFP #2021-01
Responses to Submitted Questions

Question Number	Requesting Vendor (Alphabetically)	Questions/Answers
	County:	All proposal exceptions to be included.
7.	Hexagon	Chapter 1, Overview, Proposal Format (page 1-4): In Section 10, item D, what kind of additional literature does the County want to see?
	County:	It's whatever literature you may have that you feel will support your ability for a successful completion of this project. <i>For example, some vendors publish case studies of their products and or services.</i>
8.	Hexagon	Chapter 4, Tab C (Interfaces), line C.1.2: What data is passed back and forth with this bi-directional interface?
	County:	The attached " Courts Interface 2015 " doc explains how this works today. The courts are expected to complete the migration from CJIS to Journal by the time we are ready to start this project. The process will change from what is attached. This will give you an idea of minimally what will pass.
9.	Hexagon	Chapter 4, Tab C (Interfaces), line C.1.4: What data is passed back and forth with this bi-directional interface?
	County:	Please review attached documents " Tiburon connection to EJUS " and " Tiburon to EJUS MNI and Integration points ".
10.	Hexagon	Chapter 4, Tab C (Interfaces), line C.1.12: What data should be exported from the RMS? JMS?
	County:	SB384 is a State of California mandated requirement. The attached zip " Custodial Facility Data Meeting Handouts " has the details.
11.	Hexagon	Chapter 4, Tab C (Interfaces), line C.1.13: We are assuming the citation and accident information comes from JURIS and Crossroads. Will these two systems send data to SWITRS with no interface needed? Or does the County prefer the RMS system send information to CHP when the data is imported from JURIS and Crossroads?
	County:	We would prefer RMS to send information to SWITRS.
12.	Hexagon	Considering potential shipping delays and in order to have sufficient time to complete all forms accordingly, would the County consider extending the deadline by no more than a week?
	County:	Not at this time.
13.	Hexagon	RFP page 55: The County has indicated the desire for an interface titled "Message Switch" as well as an interface called "RMS/JMS/AFR with CLETS/NCIC." Can the County clarify what system(s) the message switch communicates with? a. Is the message switch communicating with multiple external databases? If so, which ones? b. Is the message switch used to query state and federal simultaneously?
	County:	This requirement pertains only to the CLETS/NCIC data exchange.

Marin County
RMS/JMS RFP #2021-01
Responses to Submitted Questions

Question Number	Requesting Vendor (Alphabetically)	Questions/Answers
14.	Hexagon	Is Marin County expecting to enter citations/crash data directly into the new AFR system? There is a Crossroads interface, so we need to understand the workflow between the two systems that they are looking for in addition to making sure AFR/records has CHP 555 and 180. Can Marin outline that workflow to us for better understanding?
	County:	The County will enter citations/crash data into Crossroads (not necessarily using the automated field reporting solution). Once complete, Crossroads will export the data to the vendor's RMS.
15.	Mark43	Is the agency currently reporting State and/or Federal NIBRS?
	County:	No.
16.	Mark43	How many sworn law enforcement personnel are there in the agency/agencies?
	County:	294.
17.	Mark43	How many users will need to make NCIC basic inquiries and how many will likely need advanced? a. Basic inquiries = persons, vehicles, guns, boats, articles
	County:	294 Basic and 50 Advanced.
18.	Mark43	Analytics: b. What is the number of users that will need 'view only' access to analytics/statistical reports and/or bulletins? c. What is the number of users that will need to create analytical/statistical reports and/or bulletins?
	County:	b. 294 c. 50
19.	Mark43	Should pricing for Property & Evidence Management be included in the proposal?
	County:	Yes.
20.	Mark43	What are the types of statistical reports currently in use and/or desired?
	County:	Statistical report types may be located throughout Chapter 4.
21.	Mark43	Is a reporting database for use with external business intelligence/analysis tools desired?
	County:	Yes.
22.	Mark43	Does the agency currently access NCIC through the County message switch? Or does it access it directly to NCIC?
	County:	Via the County message switch.
23.	Mark43	What is the desired timeline to implement the RMS/JMS?
	County:	Approximately 14 months.
24.	Mark43	Would the County please grant a minimum two-week extension on questions to allow for questions to be asked post prebid?
	County:	No.

Marin County
RMS/JMS RFP #2021-01
Responses to Submitted Questions

Question Number	Requesting Vendor (Alphabetically)	Questions/Answers
25.	Mark43	Would the county please grant a minimum two-week extension on the due date of the RFP?
	County:	No.
26.	Mark43	Due to the current COVID landscape, would the County please accept an alternative to wet signatures and notaries such as Adobe verified signatures?
	County:	No.

Attachments

COURTS INTERFACE 2015



Courts Interface
2015.docx

TIBUTON CONNECTION TO EJUS



Tiburon
Connection to EJUS.

TIBURON TO EJIS MNI AND INTEGRATION POINTS



Tiburon to EJIS MNI
and Integration poi

CUSTODIAL FACILITY DATA MEETING HANDOUTS



Custodial Facility
Data Meeting Hand