



Ross Valley Paramedic Authority

MEMBER AGENCIES

Town of Corte Madera
Town of Fairfax
Kentfield Fire Dist.
City of Larkspur
County of Marin
Town of Ross
Town of San Anselmo
Sleepy Hollow Fire Dist.

August 4, 2016

Victoria Harrison, Foreperson Pro tem
Marin County Grand Jury
3501 Civic Center Drive, Room #275
San Rafael, CA 94903

Dear Victoria Harrison,

The Ross Valley Paramedic Authority has completed its responses to the Grand Jury's report titled "2015-16 Web Transparency Report Card: Brining Marin County's Local Governments to Light.

We agree with the Grand Jury's recommended edits to our website and we were happy to make the changes. We are committed to continuing to enhance transparency with our customers. Accessibility of important information through our website is an important step in achieving further transparency. Additionally we agree with recommendation R2 and will ensure a timely and accurate filing with the Secretary of State and county clerk.

In addition to ensuring that the different pages of our website now contain the information provided on your checklist we have taken an additional step to make it easier for our customers to access the important information you have asked for. We have created a "Transparency" tab that has links to all of the best practices checklist items on one page. The new tab can be found under the "Governance" tab on our home page.

Additionally, we have developed a new website over the past several months. The new website will be much more user friendly and contain all of the information identified as best practices for special district web transparency.

Respectfully,

Roger Meagor
Board Chair, RVPA

RESPONSE TO GRAND JURY REPORT

Report Title: 2015-16 Web Transparency Report Card
Report Date: 3/10/16
Agenda Date: 8/4/16
Response by: Board of Directors Title: Chairman of the Board

FINDINGS

- I (we) agree with the findings numbered: _____
- I (we) disagree *partially* with the findings numbered: _____
- I (we) disagree *wholly* with the findings numbered: _____

(Attach a statement specifying any portions of the findings that are disputed; include an explanation of the reasons therefor.)

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Recommendations numbered R2 have been implemented.
(Attach a summary describing the implemented actions.)
- Recommendations numbered _____ have not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future.
(Attach a timeframe for the implementation.)
- Recommendations numbered _____ require further analysis.
(Attach an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This timeframe shall not exceed six months from the date of publication of the grand jury report.)
- Recommendations numbered _____ will not be implemented because they are not warranted or are not reasonable.
(Attach an explanation.)

Date: 8-4-16 Signed: 

Number of pages attached 1