

595 Helman Lane Cotati, CA 94931 1.800.231.3236 or 707.285.2200 707.285.2210 fax

www.msmosquito.com

Philip D. Smith District Manager

BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Lee Braun, President Belvedere

Shaun McCaffery, Vice President Healdsburg

Herb Rowland Jr., Secretary Novato

Laurie Gallian, Treasurer Sonoma

> Yvonne Van Dyke Cotati

Tamara Davis Sonoma County At Large

Richard Stabler Sonoma County At Large

> Martin Castro Windsor

> > Art Deicke Santa Rosa

> > Una Glass Sebustopol

Paul Libeu Rohnert Park

Steve Ayala Petaluma

Paul Sagues Ross

Terry Pebbles Marin County At Large

Ed Schulze Marin County At Large

> Nancy Barnard Corte Madera

Laura Fennema Tiburon

> Sandra Ross Mill Valley

> Frank Egger Fairfax

Pamela Harlem San Rafael

William Holland San Anselmo May 12, 2016

Honorable Judge Kelly V. Simmons Marin County Superior Court P.O. Box 4988 San Rafael, CA 94913-4988

Foreperson John Mann Marin County Civil Grand Jury 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903

Re: Web Transparency Report: District Response & Summary of Actions Taken

Dear Judge Simmons & Foreperson Mann:

In response to the above report, the District has prepared the attached response form. As you will note, the document confirms that the District has filed an updated "Statement of Facts, Public Agencies Roster Filing" form with the California Secretary of State and the County of Marin.

This response was prepared by the District Manager and subsequently reviewed and approved by the Board of Trustees at their regular noticed public meeting on May 11, 2016.

Please contact me if I can supply any further information.

Sincerely,

Philip D. Smith District Manager

RESPONSE TO GRAND JURY REPORT

Re	port Title: 2015-16 Web Transparency Report Card
Re	port Date: 3/10/16
Ag	genda Date: 5/11/16
Re	sponse by: Lee Braun Title: Board President
FI	NDINGS
	I (we) agree with the findings numbered: R2
•	I (we) disagree partially with the findings numbered:
Ħ	I (we) disagree wholly with the findings numbered:
	(Attach a statement specifying any portions of the findings that are disputed; include an explanation of the reasons therefor.)
RI	ECOMMENDATIONS
	Recommendations numbered R2 have been implemented.
	(Attach a summary describing the implemented actions.)
•	Recommendations numbered have not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future.
	(Attach a timeframe for the implementation.)
	Recommendations numbered require further analysis.
	(Attach an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This <u>timeframe</u> shall not exceed six months from the date of publication of the grand jury report.)
٠	Recommendations numbered will not be implemented because they are not warranted or are not reasonable.
	(Attach an explanation.)
	ate: 5/12/16 Signed: Warn