RESPONSE TO GRAND JURY REPORT

Re	port Title: 2015-16 Web Transparency Report Card
Re	port Date: March 10, 2016
Αg	genda Date: April 12,2016
Re	sponse by: Marin RCD Title: Board of Directors
FI	NDINGS
<u>ņ</u>	I (we) agree with the findings numbered: F1 - F5
z	I (we) disagree partially with the findings numbered:
ì	I (we) disagree wholly with the findings numbered:
	(Attach a statement specifying any portions of the findings that are disputed; include an explanation of the reasons therefor.)
RJ	ECOMMENDATIONS
	Recommendations numbered R1-R5 have been implemented.
	(Attach a summary describing the implemented actions.) -> Report gave Havingco an A-
(m)	Recommendations numbered have not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future.
	(Attach a timeframe for the implementation.)
ш	Recommendations numbered require further analysis.
	(Attach an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This timeframe shall not exceed six months from the date of publication of the grand jury report.)
	Recommendations numbered will not be implemented because they are not warranted or are not reasonable.
	(Attach an explanation.)
	ate: 04/12/16 Signed: 28/002
NI	umber of pages attached ————————————————————————————————————

MARINES OURCE CONSENDIST_HAMS