## THE COMMENT OF THE

## Inverness Public Utility District

Fire Department & Water System
Post Office Box 469
Inverness, CA 94937

April 27, 2016

Victoria Harrison, Foreperson Pro tem 2015-2016 Marin County Civil Grand Jury Marin County Civil Grand Jury 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903

**RE:** Response to Civil Grand Jury Report - 2015-16 Web Transparency Report Card: Bringing Marin County's Local Governments to Light

Dear Ms. Harrison:

Below please find the responses you requested from Inverness Public Utility District (IPUD):

R1.

The agency should improve its web transparency score to "B-" (or better), by updating its website and submitting the appropriate self-audit form. The form may be obtained by emailing: grandjury-audit@marincounty.org

IPUD Response: IPUD is planning to update its website in the next fiscal year.

R2.

The agency should file and keep updated its Statement of Facts with the California Secretary of State and the Marin County Clerk as required by California Code §53051.

<u>IPUD Response:</u> IPUD is aware of, and complies with, these requirements.

R3.

The agency should update its website to include information of the annual compensation of its elected officials, officers and employees; and this information should also be submitted to the Controller, as required by Sections 12463 and 53909of the California Government Code.

<u>IPUD Response:</u> Please see response to R1 regarding IPUD's website update. IPUD is aware of, and complies with, the SCO reporting requirements. Additionally, IPUD provides this data annually to the Bay Area News Group.

Best Regards,

Scott McMorrow General Manager

## RESPONSE TO GRAND JURY REPORT

Re	port Title: 2015-16 Web Transparency Report Card
Re	eport Date: 3/10/16
Αg	genda Date: 4/27/16
Re	esponse by: Scott McMorrow Title: General Manager
FI	NDINGS
•	I (we) agree with the findings numbered:
•	I (we) disagree partially with the findings numbered:
	I (we) disagree wholly with the findings numbered:
	(Attach a statement specifying any portions of the findings that are disputed; include an explanation of the reasons therefor.)
RI	ECOMMENDATIONS
•	Recommendations numbered R2, R3 (partially) have been implemented.
	(Attach a summary describing the implemented actions.)
	Recommendations numbered R1 have not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future.
	(Attach a timeframe for the implementation.)
	Recommendations numberedrequire further analysis.
	(Attach an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a timeframe for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This <u>timeframe</u> shall not exceed six months from the date of publication of the grand jury report.)
	Recommendations numbered will not be implemented because they are not warranted or are not reasonable.
	(Attach an explanation.)
D	ate: 5/4/16 Signed:
N	umber of pages attached <sup>2</sup>