

From: [Rick Gordon](#)
To: [Drumm, Kristin](#)
Cc: [Kinsey, Steven](#); [Jennifer Blackman](#)
Subject: Comments About LAND USE POLICY C-PK-3
Date: Sunday, April 10, 2016 11:29:42 PM

There are several things about this proposed policy that I find troubling:

- The intention to further prioritize commercial interests and increase tourism at a time when I see many West Marin renters losing their homes.
- Parking is already a big problem. Encouraging more commercial density seems likely to make that worse.
- It creates yet another new permit hassle.

So I feel wary about this whole new core zone proposal; and **I wonder who is promoting it, and who would benefit from it** (and doubt that they are the residents).

This is unclear to me: The FAQ document (edited April 8, page 4) says the existing legal residences are allowed to continue without any further requirements. And yet in the most recent draft of the proposal (as written in the 3rd paragraph of the FAQ) has the wording *Replacement, maintenance and repair of any legal existing residential use shall be exempt from the above provision and shall be permitted* struck out.

Unless I'm misunderstanding something, it seems that that should be reinstated. If not there, where is it clarified who is to be exempted without further rigamarole?

Also, how is "a new residential use" defined: A new owner? A new renter?

Thanks,
Rick Gordon
Inverness Park / Bolinas

--

RICK GORDON
EMERALD VALLEY GRAPHICS AND CONSULTING

EMAIL: rick@rickgordon.com
PHONE: 415-246-2756

WWW: <http://www.shelterpub.com>