

## ATTACHMENT 1

**From:** Liebster, Jack  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 19, 2013 1:53 PM  
**To:** Dan.Carl@coastal.ca.gov  
**Cc:** Kahn, Kevin@Coastal (kkahn@coastal.ca.gov)  
**Subject:** Marin LCP submittal  
**Attachments:** #2 crossout, underline example MC LCPA 12-19-13  
Crosswalk\_Unit II to LCPA\_Aug 2013  
Road Map\_Existing\_vs\_Proposed\_Dev\_Code\_Aug 2013

Hi Dan,

We are working diligently to respond to Kevin's filing letter and are making good progress. Kevin has probably mentioned that I would like to talk with you about the request for a cross-out and underline copy of the LCP. We had specifically talked about this with Kevin in preparing our submittal for filing, and had agreed it would not be required, because the format we had developed (the "comparison documents" attached) met that need, and actually were clearer and more readily understood.

I have just done a few policies as an example of the cross-out, and you'll see sometimes it just makes the review more confusing.

At this point, there is also a timing issue. I've calculated it would take between 50 to 60 hours straight to do all the policies, and we are stretched so thin right now it would probably be February before we could complete that.

It is also important to remember that under the Coastal Act, the standard of review for an LCP Amendment is the Coastal Act itself, not what the current LCP says. Time and effort focusing on differences from prior language rather than consistency with the Act takes away from that goal.

I will give you a call shortly, and hopefully we can clear this up.

Thanks very much.

Jack

***Jack Liebster***

Planning Manager, Marin County CDA  
(415) 473-4331  
[jl Liebster@marincounty.org](mailto:jl Liebster@marincounty.org)

**From:** Stevenson, Alisa  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 18, 2013 4:18 PM  
**To:** Liebster, Jack  
**Subject:** FW: LCP submittal

Jack,

Below is the email correspondence between Kevin and myself regarding our LCPA comparison documents. Following this email, we had a phone conversation at noon the same day, during which time Kevin confirmed that the comparison documents provided (see attached) were

sufficient to fulfill their request and that a strikeout/underline version was not necessary. During the same conversation, we discussed the format for the comment/response document as well as the need for us to compile the staff reports, letters and minutes for the PC hearings.

Thanks,  
Alisa

**Alisa Stevenson**  
415-473-7309  
[astevenson@marincounty.org](mailto:astevenson@marincounty.org)

**From:** Stevenson, Alisa  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 21, 2013 11:27 AM  
**To:** 'Kahn, Kevin@Coastal'  
**Cc:** Scholl, Steven; Gimmler, Christine; Thorsen, Suzanne; Drumm, Kristin; Liebster, Jack  
**Subject:** RE: LCP submittal  
**Attachments:** *Crosswalk\_Unit I to LCPA\_Aug 2013*  
*Crosswalk\_Unit II to LCPA\_Aug 2013*  
*Road Map\_Existing\_vs\_Proposed\_Dev\_Code\_Aug 2013*

Hi Kevin,

Thanks for the response. This is helpful in guiding us towards finalizing our submittal documents. Attached are the comparison documents we just finished updating. It sounds like you may need more detail than we've included in the attached, but please review and let us know what you think. My colleagues and I are meeting this afternoon, so we will discuss the feedback you've provided and I'll let you know if we have further questions.

Thanks!  
Alisa

**Alisa Stevenson**  
County of Marin CDA  
415-473-7309

**From:** Kahn, Kevin@Coastal [<mailto:Kevin.Kahn@coastal.ca.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 21, 2013 10:37 AM  
**To:** Stevenson, Alisa  
**Subject:** LCP submittal

Hi Alisa, I received your phone call yesterday. I was able to discuss with Madeline how best to submit the LCP. Basically, we agree that an updated and "beefed up" version of your existing and proposed policy comparisons would be the best way to go, as opposed to a strike-out/underline version. However, please be sure to address all changes made (for example, the existing LCP has a lot of specific recommendations for rezonings/acquisition of land/etc...the update needs to clearly identify why a policy was removed). As for your idea to send a "test" submittal to us for our review, this is a fine idea, just so long that it is clearly labeled that it is not the actual submittal itself.

Also, in terms of interested people, we will need a list of all people who spoke/submitted comments at your Planning and Board hearings. We need their names and addresses if possible. You can also summarize their pertinent comments, using your staff reports as a starting point.

Please let me know if you have any questions. I am at my desk all day.

Thanks!

Kevin

Kevin Kahn  
Coastal Planner  
North Central Coast District  
California Coastal Commission  
(415) 904-5267