



MEMORANDUM

TO: Marin County Planning Commission

FROM: Immanuel Bereket, Senior Planner

SUBJECT: Supplemental Information
Agenda Item 4 – September 27, 2020, Planning Commission Hearing
Jones’ Appeal of the Presbytery of the Redwood (AT&T) Use Permit and
Design Review

DATE: September 22, 2020

Enclosed, you’ll find additional correspondences relating to the above-referenced agenda item for your review. These correspondences were received post-publication and distribution of the Planning Commission material on Friday, September 19, 2020.

Attachments:

Bereket, Immanuel

From: Sangita Moskow <lisamoskow@rocketmail.com>
Sent: Monday, 21 September 2020 3:58 PM
To: amosher@marinconty.org; Bereket, Immanuel; Sackett, Mary
Subject: NO on St. Lukes

Please deny the appeal of the DZA decision on the St.Lukes Presbyterian Church.

We have enough health problems on our plate these days.

These EMFs are lowering out resistance to disease and do not have any value beyond unwanted surveillance.

Lisa Moskow

Sangita Moskow

For sound samples:
<http://www.lisasangitamoskow.com>

Bereket, Immanuel

From: Polly St. John-Hughes <pollystjohnhughes@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, 21 September 2020 10:30 AM
To: Bereket, Immanuel
Cc: Sackett, Mary
Subject: DZA Decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project

Dear Immanuel Bereket and Damon Connolly,

I support the Staff Report Recommendation to deny the AT&T appeal of the DZA decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project.

Thank you for upholding this decision,

Polly St John Hughes

Bereket, Immanuel

From: mary <marylarkin9@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, 21 September 2020 7:40 AM
To: Mosher, Ana Hilda
Cc: Bereket, Immanuel; Sackett, Mary
Subject: Please support the staff Report Recommendation to Deny the AT&T appeal of the DZA decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project.

I kindly ask you again to please support the staff Report Recommendation to **Deny the AT&T appeal** of the DZA decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project.

A wireless radiation-emitting facility should never be installed in the midst of homes - private sanctuaries where people rest, sleep, restore, heal. Many residents live in close proximity to the site, including children and seniors.

Thank you for your kind attention,

Mary Larkin
San Rafael, (not far from St. Luke Presbyterian Church)

Bereket, Immanuel

Subject: FW: Against the St. Luke's cell phone towers

From: Ray Lorber <raylorber@yahoo.com>

Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2020 8:38 AM

To: Mosher, Ana Hilda <AMosher@marincounty.org>

Subject: Against the St. Luke's cell phone towers

I support the the staff's decision to deny the AT&T appeal on the St. Luke's cell phone towers.

Bereket, Immanuel

Subject: FW: Do Not approve

From: KK <kay@h2olady.com>

Sent: Sunday, September 20, 2020 8:47 AM

To: Mosher, Ana Hilda <AMosher@marincounty.org>

Subject: Do Not approve

Please deny the appeal by AT&T of the DZA decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project to install 5G as the staff report recommends.

Thank you,
Kay Karchevski

Bereket, Immanuel

From: Susannah <susannahbarley@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, 20 September 2020 3:48 PM
To: Mosher, Ana Hilda
Cc: Bereket, Immanuel; Sackett, Mary
Subject: AT&T appeal

Dear Ms. Mosher,

I'm writing to support the Staff Report Recommendation to Deny the AT&T appeal of the DZA decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project.

This is an area in close proximity to residential zones where children and seniors rest, sleep and do their lives. Wireless radiation-emitting facilities should never be installed in the midst of homes.

Sincerely,
Susannah Barley

Bereket, Immanuel

From: sharon hamilton <minuaka.sh@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, 20 September 2020 2:46 PM
To: Mosher, Ana Hilda
Cc: Bereket, Immanuel; Sackett, Mary
Subject: Deny the AT&T repeal

Dear Planning Commission Senior Secretary Mosher,

I am writing to support the Staff report recommendation to deny the AT&T appeal of the DZA decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Sharon Hamilton

Bereket, Immanuel

From: Harriet Spiegel <HSpiegel@csuchico.edu>
Sent: Sunday, 20 September 2020 1:12 PM
To: Bereket, Immanuel
Cc: Connolly, Damon; Mosher, Ana Hilda
Subject: St Luke Cell Tower hearing date IMPORTANT

Dear Manny,

I have just realized (in a year when it is hard to see beyond day by day) that September 28, the date scheduled for ATT's appeal of the County's denial of the St Luke's cell tower permit, presents a serious problem for several of us. This year Monday September 28 is also Yom Kippur, the holiest and most solemn day of the Jewish year. It has been my experience that governments have honored this sacred day by not scheduling public hearings on Yom Kippur.

I sincerely hope that the County can reschedule the hearing so that those of us who observe Yom Kippur can also participate in this event that concerns our neighborhood.

I look forward to your reply. Thank you.

Harriet Spiegel
57 Bayview Drive
530.966.5062
hspiegel@csuchico.edu

Bereket, Immanuel

From: Terrie Burns <creativerings@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, 20 September 2020 12:16 PM
To: Mosher, Ana Hilda; Bereket, Immanuel; MSacket@marincounty.org
Subject: Deny appeal for St. Luke Presbyterian Project

Dear Planning Commission, Planners and Aides,

I'm writing to support the Staff Report Recommendation to Deny the AT&T appeal of the DZA decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project. I would appreciate your consideration as this would jeopardize the health and welfare of the people living near this proposed installation.

Sincerely,
Terrie Burns

415-464-0366

Whatever the problem, LOVE is the only solution. Love is the answer today...and everyday.

Bereket, Immanuel

From: Roberta Anthes <robertaanthes@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, 20 September 2020 12:03 PM
To: Mosher, Ana Hilda; Bereket, Immanuel; Sackett, Mary
Subject: Cell Tower at St. Luke
Attachments: Letter to Supervisors on SR Tower Application.docx

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I'm writing to support the Staff Report recommendation to deny the AT&T appeal of the DZA decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project.

Please see the attached letter I sent to the Supervisors this past May in opposition to the tower.

Thank you for considering my request.

Sincerely,
Roberta Anthes
2 Snowden Lane
Fairfax, CA 94930
415-991-5707

Hello Supervisors,

I'm writing to oppose the application for a nine-antennae 4G/LTE tower to be constructed on the property of St. Luke Presbyterian Church in San Rafael. I live in incorporated Fairfax, right on the border of the unincorporated area. Although I don't live near this particular church, the way in which the county administers wireless applications in fully residential areas will affect me. The proposed tower will constitute a massive increase in electromagnetic frequency radiation in the surrounding area. Local residents and parishioners are right to be concerned.

Cell towers worldwide have long been associated with cancer clusters and other serious illnesses. In the United Kingdom alone, at least nine such clusters have been discovered. Professor Gordon Stewart, a leading UK epidemiologist, has cited increases of cancer within up to 7 kilometers (4+ miles) of cell towers. People living within this radius were 33% more likely to contract cancer, in particular leukemias. In another study, epidemiological figures showed that, within 1.4 miles of a mast, the number of adult leukemia cases was nearly double what would normally be expected.

Similar correlations between cell towers and increases in ill effects have been cited in France, Australia, Spain, Germany, Israel, Egypt, Korea, Italy, and Brazil.

In California we've seen strong correlations between cell towers and cancer clusters at Western Elementary School in

Ripon and at San Diego State. In Los Angeles, the International Firefighters Union cited health effects including headaches, memory problems, sleeping problems, disorientation and anxiety following installation of towers on their stations. Brain scans of the firefighters showed abnormalities. California Assembly Bill 57 now exempts fire stations from having transmission devices on their facilities.

It's no wonder that many insurance companies shy away from insuring cell towers. I hope the church leaders at St. Luke Presbyterian Church refuse this application for the good of their congregants and the whole community. And, although the Board of Supervisors is not permitted to consider health effects, I hope they will reject this application in light of other requirements. We do not want or need this additional connectivity. Please do not set this precedent of allowing towers in residential neighborhoods.

Sincerely,

Roberta Anthes

2 Snowden Lane

Fairfax, CA 94930

415-991-5707

Bereket, Immanuel

From: KK <kay@h2olady.com>
Sent: Sunday, 20 September 2020 8:57 AM
To: Bereket, Immanuel
Subject: NO on AT&T appeal

Please vote to support the Staff Recommendation to DENY the AT&T appeal of the DZA decision on the St. Luke Presbyterian Church project.

Thank you,
Kay Karchevski
42 Hillcrest Dr.
San Rafael, CA 949901

Bereket, Immanuel

From: Sandy Ross <healthhab@igc.org>
Sent: Sunday, 20 September 2020 8:30 AM
To: Mosher, Ana Hilda
Cc: Bereket, Immanuel; Sackett, Mary
Subject: Please continue to DENY THE AT&T/PRESBYTERY NINE-ANTENNA CELL TOWER PROJECT AT ST. LUKE CHURCH IN SAN RAFAEL

Please continue to DENY THE AT&T/PRESBYTERY NINE-ANTENNA CELL TOWER PROJECT AT ST. LUKE CHURCH IN SAN RAFAEL. Its diabolical that a religious sanctuary would disguise a radiation-emitting facility on its building in which people come to seek comfort.

Dr. Sandy Ross