



**STAFF REPORT TO THE MARIN COUNTY DEPUTY ZONING ADMINISTRATOR**

**RAPPAPORT AND ROSENMAN  
COASTAL PERMIT AND PRECISE DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT**

Item:	1.	Project ID:	2011-0181
Applicant:	Stacey N. Ford, Architect	Owners:	Andrew and Deborah Rappaport Andrea and John Rosenman
Property Address:	330 Horseshoe Hill Road, Bolinas	Assessor's Parcels:	188-140-69 and -70 188-140-21
Hearing Date:	May 17, 2012	Planner:	Neal Osborne

**RECOMMENDATION: Approve with Conditions**  
**APPEAL PERIOD: Five working days**  
**to the Planning Commission**

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

The project is a proposal to legalize portions of the underground utility line trench excavation and conduit installation that was completed without a permit, new trenching and underground conduit installation through the adjacent Rosenman property, and installation of a new utility pole within the Horseshoe Hill Road right-of-way. The project would install underground 710 lineal feet of an existing 12,000 Volt PG&E electric power transmission line and AT&T telephone lines from a new pole in the Horseshoe Hill Road right-of-way in a northeastern direction to the existing pole on the Rosenman property. The proposed new pole would be located on the eastern side of the right-of-way and 200 feet south of the existing driveway to 330 Horseshoe Hill Road. Two poles and the existing overhead lines would be removed from the site. Approximately 290 lineal feet of the as-built trench and conduit are located within the 100-foot Wetlands Conservation Area mapped by WRA, Inc. around a seasonal pond. As recommended in the WRA, Inc. Biological Assessment report, and approved by the County, the unauthorized trench was backfilled and stabilized with erosion control measures during the first two weeks of October 2011 to avoid potential impacts to red-legged frogs that might migrate through the area in the rainy season. No additional site work will be authorized until after approval of the Coastal Permit and Precise Development Plan Amendment for this entire project.

To approve the project, affirmative findings are required pursuant to the following Marin County Interim Code Section(s) (MCC): *22.56.130I (Coastal Permit), and 22.45.050.BI (Development Plan)*.

The project site is located within the appeal jurisdiction of the California Coastal Commission. Any person may file an appeal of the County of Marin's final decision on the Coastal Development Permit to the California Coastal Commission.

**GENERAL INFORMATION:**

Countywide Plan

Land Use Designation: C-AG3, Coastal Agricultural, one unit per one acre to nine acres

Community Plan: Bolinas

Zoning: C-ARP-5, Coastal Agricultural Residential Planned District, one unit per 5 acres maximum density

Lot sizes: 4.97 acres (AP 188-140-69)  
4.97 acres (AP 188-140-70)  
3.517 acres (AP 188-140-21)

Adjacent Land Uses: Single-family residential

Vegetation: Mixed coastal live oak woodland, pine, cypress, and bay trees, grassland, and a wetland marsh area around a seasonal pond.

Topography and Slope: The project site slopes down less than 10% to the southeast.

Environmental Hazards: The upland property is located within the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Study Zone of the San Andreas Fault Zone. Fire hazards associated with the forest in a Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) area.

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW:**

The Environmental Coordinator has determined that this project is Categorically Exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Section 15302, Class 2(d) of the CEQA Guidelines because it entails the installation of electric and telephone utility lines underground to replace existing above ground lines that would not result in impacts to sensitive species, significant tree removal, grading, or other adverse impacts on the environment.

**PUBLIC NOTICE:**

The Community Development Agency has provided public notice identifying the applicant, describing the project and its location, and giving the public hearing date in accord with California Government Code requirements. This notice has been mailed to all property owners within 600 feet of the subject property.

**PLAN CONSISTENCY:**

The project would be consistent with the goals and policies of the Marin Countywide Plan, the Bolinas Community Plan, and the Marin County Local Coastal Program, Unit I because it involves installing underground currently existing overhead high voltage electric power and telephone lines.

**ZONING CONSISTENCY:**

The project would be consistent with the development standards of the C-ARP-5 zoning district because the installation of the utility lines underground would not result in adverse visual effects, grading, tree removal, or damage to sensitive wetland resources. The design of the project would be compatible with the site and surrounding environment. Please refer to the recommended resolution for detailed findings.

## **PROJECT ANALYSIS:**

### **Background**

In 1999, the Deputy Zoning Administrator approved a Coastal Permit, a two-lot Land Division, and Precise Development Plan for the Lands of Thomas Allen. The recorded parcel map shows the alignment of the PG&E overhead utility lines through Parcels A and B, two utility poles, and reference to a utility easement in Book 158 of Deeds at Page 131. The site plan shows a pond and a 100-foot buffer to a proposed residence on Parcel B in a Building Envelope, and a Building Envelope for a maximum 3,000 square foot residence on Parcel A. These lots are the subject Rappaport properties identified as Assessor's Parcels 188-140-69 and -70, respectively.

In 2000, the Deputy Zoning Administrator approved a Coastal Permit and Design Review for the construction of a 2,318 square foot single-family residence and accessory buildings on Parcel A. The property owner obtained a Building Permit, construction was completed and on December 19, 2001, the Building Inspector approved the Final Inspection for the residence and accessory buildings.

In May 2011, the applicant submitted a Coastal Permit and Development Plan Amendment application for a proposal to construct a 1,380 square foot music and photography studio outside of the Building Envelope approved with the Allen Precise Development Plan, as an accessory structure on Parcel A. Access was proposed through a new 16-foot wide gravel driveway through Parcel B to the proposed building site in the northeastern portion of Parcel A. Including the existing 354 square foot unconditioned storage barn with office and a 201 square foot jewelry studio, the proposal would have resulted in a development with 4,253 square feet of combined floor area. The proposal also included relocation of existing overhead utility lines underground.

In August 2011, the applicant submitted an e-mail request to stop the review process for the music and photography studio but to proceed with processing of the application for installing the high voltage electric power and telephone lines underground. Excavation work commenced for the new utility alignment underground after the contractor allegedly consulted with Building and Safety Division staff and was given clearance to start work. In September 2011, a neighbor informed staff that excavation involving heavy equipment and many trucks was occurring at the site. On September 13, 2011, staff sent a Stop Work e-mail request to the applicant and owner (see Attachment 15).

On September 20, 2011, Geoff Smick, Associate Principal Plant Ecologist with WRA, Environmental Consultants, Inc. completed a site inspection and on October 4, 2011 he submitted a sensitive habitat impact assessment report of findings and recommendations (see Attachment 20). The report found no sensitive species present or evidence of environmental harm but recommends closing the open trench immediately to reduce the likelihood of impacts to wetland hydrology and California Red-Legged Frogs. Staff authorized the contractor to close the trench and install erosion control features as recommended before the onset of the rainy season. The work was completed October 16, 2011. WRA wildlife biologist, Jason Yakich, inspected the site on December 6, 2011 and observed straw covering the disturbed soil areas, straw wattles downslope, and no indication of special-status species (Attachment 10). A more comprehensive Biological Assessment was submitted October 20, 2011 (see Attachment 19).

## Biological Resources

The project site is located in area that may contain sensitive species including federally listed threatened California Red-legged Frog. No sensitive plant or wildlife species were observed by the applicant's wildlife biologist during site visits in 2011. As stated in the biological studies prepared by WRA, Inc. potential impacts to sensitive resources and their habitats would be less than significant.

## Wetlands

The National Wetlands Inventory prepared by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service maps the pond on the property as a Freshwater Pond. The LCP Lagoon Protection Policy 18 requires a 100-foot buffer area around the pond and associated wetlands where no development, unless dependent on the resources, are allowed as follows:

“To the maximum extent feasible, a buffer strip, a minimum of 100 feet in width, shall be maintained in natural condition along the periphery of all wetlands as delineated by the Department of Fish and Game and in accordance with Section 30121 of the Coastal Act and with the criteria developed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. No uses other than those dependent upon the resources shall be allowed within the buffer strip.”

No additional work is proposed within the 100-foot wetlands buffer, however 290 lineal feet of the existing underground utility lines were installed through the 100-foot wetlands buffer. Staff recommends implementation of a restoration plan with monitoring by WRA, Inc. staff for three years as a condition of project approval. The applicant also paid penalty fees for commencement of the work before issuance of permits. The implementation of the restoration plan would maintain and restore the wetlands buffer to compensate for the site disturbance. At this point, it would be more disruptive to remove the existing undergrounded lines than to complete the restoration plan and otherwise leave the buffer area undisturbed. Properly completed, the restoration work shall result in no loss of wetland value or impact on wetland-related resources.

## Geologic Hazards

The subject property is located entirely within the Earthquake Hazard Zone along the San Andreas Fault and the site could be subjected to strong ground shaking during a proximate seismic event. LCP Policy 4 in Shoreline Protection and Hazards Areas, states,

“The County of Marin does not encourage new residential development of such parcels and expressly states that the issuance of a coastal development permit for such property does not warrant said property's safety from geologic hazards. Further, the County of Marin will not accept liability for subsequent personal or property damage caused by geologic processes on said properties. To assure that the builder or subsequent purchasers are expressly aware of the policy, a 'waiver of liability' shall be executed and recorded by the property owner prior to the issuance of a coastal development permit. Further, except for short-term, emergency food, shelter, and clothing, the County of Marin will not participate in emergency or disaster relief funding for properties so identified and would recommend such limitations on State and/or federal disaster/emergency grant and/or loans.”

A geotechnical evaluation prepared by E. Vincent Howes, a Geotechnical Engineer and Certified Engineering Geologist, determined that it will be feasible to install the utility lines underground (see Attachment 13). Mr. Howes determined that the excavation of a utility line trench would not cause any ground instability if properly backfilled, compacted, and protected from erosion. Mr. Howes states that the installation of the utility lines underground would not result in additional hazards as such underground structures are normally not adversely affected by seismic events.

As directed by the LCP hazards policy, staff recommends a condition of approval before issuance of a Building Permit, requiring the property owner to record a Waiver of Liability on the titles of the subject properties that acknowledges the geologic hazards on the properties and holds the County of Marin harmless for approving development in a geologic hazard area.

### Visual Effects

The proposed relocation of the existing overhead power and telephone lines, the removal of two utility poles, and the installation of one new utility pole would reduce visual effects. The installation of the utility lines underground would not result in adverse visual effects and would be consistent with the applicable sections of LCP Visual Resources Policy 21 as follows:

“All new construction in Bolinas, Stinson Beach and Muir Beach shall be limited to a maximum height of 25 feet. To the maximum extent feasible, new development shall not impair or obstruct an existing view of the ocean, Bolinas Lagoon, or the national or State parklands from Highway 1 or Panoramic Highway.”

The visual effects from road and from other public vantage points on the trails within the Point Reyes National Seashore and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area would be minimal within an existing forested area with other residential development of the area. The construction would not impair or obstruct existing views. No views of the Pacific Ocean, Bolinas Lagoon, or national or State parklands would be affected.

### **CONCLUSION:**

Staff finds that the proposed project would be consistent with the LCP policies in the Coastal Zone and would be consistent with the development standards in the C-ARP-5 zoning district. The project will be suitable for the site and would not damage the biological resources associated with the forest, grassland, and wetlands areas. The proposal would result in underground utility lines and reduce visual effects compatible with the neighborhood character and coastal resources.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the Deputy Zoning Administrator review the administrative record, conduct a public hearing, and adopt the attached Resolution approving the Rappaport and Rosenman Coastal Permit and Precise Development Plan Amendment based on the findings and subject to the conditions of approval contained therein.

Attachments:

1. Proposed Resolution approving the Rappaport and Rosenman Coastal Permit and Precise Development Plan Amendment with conditions
2. Environmental Determination Notice of Exemption with Location Map
3. Assessor's Parcel Map
4. Site Plan
5. Partial Site Plans, Sheets A2, A3, and A4
6. PG&E 12kV Underground Line Plan
7. Stacey Nichol Ford letters, 3/7/12, and 10/18/11, and e-mail 8/25/11
8. Environmental Health Services Interdepartmental Transmittal, 3/28/12
9. Department of Public Works Inter-Office Memorandum, 3/23/12
10. Rob Schell, WRA, Inc. letter, 12/19/11
11. Katy Sanchez, Native American Heritage Commission letter, 10/27/11
12. Cassidy DeBaker and Erica Schultz, Garcia and Associates memorandum, 10/19/11
13. E. Vincent Howes, SalemHowes Associates, Inc., Geotechnical Design Memorandum, 10/17/11
14. Kevin Bryan, Pacific Gas and Electric Company letter, 10/12/11
15. Neal Osborne, CDA Planning e-mail, 9/13/11
16. Jeff Labovitz e-mails, 7/6/11
17. Jennifer Blackman, Bolinas Community Public Utility District e-mail, 6/16/11
18. Environmental Health Services Interdepartmental Transmittal, 6/12/11

**The following attachments were provided to the Deputy Zoning Administrator only.** They are available for public review at the Community Development Agency, Planning Division during regular business hours: Monday through Thursday, 8:00 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. and Fridays, 8:00 A.M. to Noon.

19. WRA, Inc, "Biological Resources Assessment," October 2011 (EXHIBIT C)
20. WRA, Inc., "Biological Impacts Assessment and Restoration Plan," October 2011 (EXHIBIT B)
21. Grant of Utility Easements, February 2012

**The following attachment was provided to the Deputy Zoning Administrator Hearing Officer only,** and is maintained in a confidential file in the Planning Division.

22. Garcia and Associates, "Cultural Resources Investigation and Evaluation for the Utility Line Trench Project at 280 and 330 Horseshoe Hill Road, Bolinas, Marin County, California," October 2011

I:\Cur\NOsborne\Project\_Applications\CP\Rappaport\_CP\_DP\_2011-0181\DZA\_Staff Report and Reso\_05-17-12.docx

**MARIN COUNTY DEPUTY ZONING ADMINISTRATOR**

**RESOLUTION \_\_\_\_\_**

**A RESOLUTION APPROVING  
THE RAPPAPORT AND ROSENMAN  
COASTAL PERMIT AND PRECISE DEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT**

**ASSESSOR'S PARCELS 188-140-69 and -70, and 188-140-21**

**330 HORSESHOE HILL ROAD, BOLINAS**

\*\*\*\*\*

**SECTION 1: FINDINGS**

- I. WHEREAS, Stacey N. Ford, on behalf of Andrew and Deborah Rappaport, and Andrea and John Rosenman, proposes the legalization of portions of the underground utility line trench excavation and conduit installation completed without a permit, new trenching and underground conduit installation through the adjacent Rosenman property, and installation of a new utility pole within the Horseshoe Hill Road right-of-way. The project would install 710 lineal feet of a 12,000 Volt PG&E electric power transmission line and AT&T telephone lines underground from a new pole in the Horseshoe Hill Road right-of-way in a northeastern direction to the existing pole on the Rosenman property. The proposed new pole would be located on the eastern side of the right-of-way, 200 feet south of the existing driveway to 330 Horseshoe Hill Road. Two poles and the existing overhead lines would be removed. Approximately 290 lineal feet of the as-built trench and conduit are located within the 100-foot Wetlands Conservation Area mapped by WRA, Inc. around a seasonal pond. As recommended in the WRA, Inc. report, and approved by the County, the unauthorized trench was backfilled and stabilized with erosion control measures during the first two weeks of October 2011 to avoid impacts to red-legged frogs that might migrate through the area in the rainy season. No additional site work will be authorized until after approval of the Coastal Permit and Precise Development Plan Amendment for the entire project. The subject properties are within the C-ARP-5 zoning district at 330 Horseshoe Hill Road, Bolinas, further identified as Assessor's Parcels 188-140-69 and -70, and 188-140-21.
- II. WHEREAS, the Marin County Deputy Zoning Administrator held a duly noticed public hearing on May 17, 2012, to consider the merits of the project, and hear testimony in favor of, and in opposition to, the project.
- III. WHEREAS the Marin County Deputy Zoning Administrator finds that the proposed project is Categorically Exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, pursuant to Section 15302, Class 2(d) of the CEQA Guidelines because it entails the replacement of existing overhead utility lines with underground lines that

would not result in substantial vegetation or tree removal or other potentially adverse impacts on the environment.

IV. WHEREAS, the Marin County Deputy Zoning Administrator finds that the proposed project is consistent with the Marin Countywide Plan because:

- A. The project would comply with Marin County standards for geotechnical engineering and seismic safety, and include improvements to protect lives and property from hazard;
- B. The project would result in underground utilities to replace existing overhead utilities;
- C. The project would result in development which conforms to the governing standards related to building height, size and location;
- D. The project would comply with governing development standards related to grading, flood control, drainage and utility improvements as verified by the Department of Public Works;
- E. The project would not cause significant adverse impacts on water supply, fire protection, waste disposal, schools, traffic and circulation, or their services; and
- F. The project would minimize soil disturbance and maximize protection of natural vegetation.

V. WHEREAS, the Marin County Deputy Zoning Administrator finds that the proposed project is consistent with the Bolinas Community Plan because:

- A. The project involves installing utilities underground based on development standards for construction.
- B. The project would not adversely impact the surrounding natural environment with regard to vegetation, wildlife habitats, or drainage.
- C. The project would be compatible with the surrounding community character and would not substantially affect the surrounding built environment with regard to views from adjacent properties, privacy for the subject and surrounding properties, and access from Horseshoe Hill Road.

VI. WHEREAS, the Marin County Deputy Zoning Administrator finds that the proposed project is consistent with the mandatory findings for Master Plan Waiver approval pursuant to the requirements and objectives of the Planned District standards (Chapter 22.45 and §22.47.010I of the Marin County Interim Zoning Code) as described below.

- A. The project would result in the construction of underground utilities to replace overhead utility lines.
- B. The installation of new joint utility pole in the Horseshoe Hill Road right-of-way and underground utility lines are minor changes to the existing infrastructure that results in less visual effects.



C. The objectives of a Master Plan would be achieved with a Precise Development Plan that establishes development standards for installation of the utility lines underground, in an appropriate location and with an improved design.

VII. WHEREAS, the Marin County Deputy Zoning Administrator finds that the proposed project is consistent with the mandatory findings for Coastal Permit approval pursuant to the requirements and objectives of the Local Coastal Program, Unit I (§22.56.130I of the Marin County Interim Zoning Code) as described below

A. Water Supply:

The Community Development Agency, Environmental Health Services Division staff determined that the existing water supply system would not be affected by the project.

B. Septic System Standards:

Marin County Environmental Health Services Division staff reviewed the proposed determined that the existing septic system would not be affected by the project.

C. Grading and Excavation:

The project site has a variety of slopes and the utility line would go through areas of gradual 5% to 10% slope where excavation of approximately 70 cubic yards of soil and backfill would occur. The excavation has occurred for a portion of the alignment and additional excavation would occur to complete the project through the Rosenman property. All grading and excavation work would be subject to the review and approval of the Department of Public Works, Land Use and Water Resources Division, to ensure consistency with Marin County requirements.

D. Archaeological Resources:

Review of the Marin County Archaeological Sites Inventory indicates that the subject property is located in an area of high archaeological sensitivity. A Cultural Resources Study was completed in 2011 that found no cultural resources. However, the small amount of grading proposed could disturb cultural resources and a condition of approval requires that in the event cultural resources are discovered during construction, all work shall stop immediately and the services of a qualified consulting archaeologist shall be engaged to assess the value of the resource and to develop appropriate protection measures.

E. Coastal Access:

The project is located more than one mile inland of the Pacific Ocean and ¼-mile from Bolinas Lagoon at an elevation of approximately 50 feet and would not impede coastal access.

F. Housing:

The proposed project would not result in the removal of a residential unit that would provide housing opportunities for people of low or moderate income. The project would not affect the availability of affordable housing within the Bolinas community.

G. Stream and Wetland Conservation Protection:

The project site is not located along protected blue-line creeks and is not subject to the Stream Conservation policies and standards. The site does contain a small pond that the National Wetlands Inventory identifies as a Freshwater Pond. While a complete delineation of hydric soil and hydrophytic vegetation was not prepared as, the applicant's biologist, Geoff Smick, Associate Principal Plant Ecologist with WRA Inc. completed a site inspection and mapped the sag pond and meadow. See EXHIBITs B and C. The applicant mapped a 100-foot wetlands buffer around the edge of the sag pond based on Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. One existing utility pole located in the 100-foot buffer would be removed and the overhead utility lines that span across a portion of the wetlands would also be removed. 290 lineal feet of the as-built underground utility line is within the 100-foot wetland buffer area. No fill is proposed within the mapped wetlands. However, portions of the underground utility lines are subject to a Coastal Permit and shall be evaluated for conformance with LCP Policy 18, "To the maximum extent feasible, a buffer strip, a minimum of 100 feet in width, shall be maintained in natural condition along the periphery of all wetlands as delineated by the Department of Fish and Game and in accordance with Section 30121 of the Coastal Act and with the criteria developed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. No uses other than those dependent upon the resources shall be allowed within the buffer strip."

The utility lines are not facilities that are dependent on the water resources in the wetlands and could be considered as not allowed within the buffer pursuant to Section 30233 of the Coastal Act. However, the excavation and installation of the underground facilities was a temporary construction project that resulted in no adverse impacts to sensitive species or habitat. WRA Inc. biologists monitored the work and determined that no Red-legged Frogs were affected and that the backfill was properly stabilized to prevent erosion with native plantings. A three-year restoration and monitoring program is recommended to eliminate any long-term effects to the environment. To the maximum extent feasible, the project encroaches the minimum amount necessary in the wetlands buffer to install the 12kV PG&E line underground. The project would result in removal of overhead utility line through a wetlands and a wetlands buffer. With proper implementation of restoration and monitoring program, any impact on wetlands resources would be negligible.

Best Management Practices and monitoring shall be implemented as conditions of approval for all development activities to prevent soil erosion and restore native vegetation on the site.

With the implementation of the conditions of approval, the project would comply with the stream and wetland conservation protection policies of the Local Coastal Program.

H. Dune Protection:

The project site is not located in a dune protection area as identified by the Natural Resources Map for Unit I of the Local Coastal Program.

I. Wildlife Habitat:

The Natural Resources Map for Unit I of the Local Coastal Program indicates that the subject property is located in an area of sensitive wildlife resources. Also, review of the California Natural Diversity Data Base, prepared by the State Department of Fish and Game, indicates two special-status wildlife species occurring in the project area including the American Badger (*Taxidea taxus*) and the hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus*). The habitat associations for these species do not exist on the project site and the small-scale scope of the project would not adversely affect the existing habitat. However, there is suitable habitat for saltmarsh common yellowthroat and one individual was observed by WRA, Inc. biologists, foraging within the vegetation of the sag pond. See EXHIBIT B and EXHIBIT C for more detailed findings. California red-legged frog, although none were observed during the biologist's field surveys, may have habitat on the site. The small lineal project within the 12-acre project site would not adversely affect potential habitat of the red-legged frog. Conditions of approval require implementation of best management practices as recommended by the applicant's biologist. These include construction of protection fencing before construction, training workers, construction during the dry season, a construction management plan, and monitoring activities by a biologist to protect frog habitat. With the implementation of the conditions of approval, the project would protect wildlife consistent with LCP policies.

J. Protection of Native Plant Communities:

The Natural Resources Map for Unit I of the Local Coastal Program indicates that the subject property is not located in an area containing rare plants. A review of the California Natural Diversity Data Base, prepared by the State Department of Fish and Game, indicates that the subject property does not contain habitat for sensitive species. See EXHIBIT B and EXHIBIT C for more details of the Coast live oak woodland habitat, the common velvet grass meadow, and the freshwater marsh native plant communities on the subject properties. The relatively small-scale project would not have an adverse impact on the habitat of the predominant Coast live oak woodland, common velvet grass meadow, and freshwater marsh native plant communities.

K. Shoreline Protection:

The subject property is not adjacent to the shoreline, and the proposed project would not result in adverse effects to the shoreline. The project would not require additional shoreline protection.

L. Geologic Hazards:

The project site is located entirely within the Earthquake Hazard Zone along the San Andreas Fault Zone and would be subjected to strong ground shaking during a proximate seismic event. The applicant submitted a geotechnical evaluation prepared by E. Vincent Howes, SalemHowes Associates, that determined the project to be feasible and with no additional hazards. As a condition of project approval, the applicant shall execute and record a waiver of liability holding the County, other governmental agencies and the public, harmless of any matter resulting from the existence of geologic hazards.

M. Public Works Projects:

The proposed project does not entail expansion of public works facilities such as public roads, flood control projects, or utility services but would result in replacement of existing overhead utility lines with underground lines.

N. Land Division Standards:

A land division is not a part of the proposal.

O. Visual Resources:

The project would not impair or obstruct coastal views from State Route One and would reduce visual effects from Horseshoe Hill Road. The project would result in installation of one new joint utility pole within the Horseshoe Hill Road right-of-way and installation of the existing above ground 12 kV electric lines and telephone lines underground. Two existing utility poles would be removed from the subject Rappaport properties.

P. Recreational/Commercial/Visitor Facilities:

The project site is governed by C-ARP-5 (Coastal, Agricultural Residential, Planned District) zoning regulations and would not affect the established character of the Bolinas village commercial area in the VCR zoning district. The project would have no effect on recreation, commercial, or visitor facilities.

Q. Historic Resource Preservation:

The property is not located within the boundaries of the Bolinas Historic District and no historic resources would be affected by the project.

VIII. WHEREAS, the Marin County Deputy Zoning Administrator finds that the proposed project is consistent with the mandatory findings for Precise Development Plan approval (§ 22.47.050.BI) of the Marin County Interim Zoning Code) as described below

A. The project would be consistent with the land use designations of the Local Coastal Plan.

- B. The project is designed to minimize potential impacts to drainage, erosion, grading, landscaping, trees and native plants, and circulation/transportation.
- C. The project would not affect for physical development and related on-site circulation and parking, and would have less visual impacts from removal of overhead utility lines and installation underground.

## **SECTION 2: ACTION**

NOW, THEREFORE, LET IT BE RESOLVED, that the Deputy Zoning Administrator approves the Rappaport and Rosenman Coastal Permit and Precise Development Plan Amendment application pursuant to Marin County Interim Coastal Zoning Code Sections 22.56.130I, 20.56.120, and 22.45.050.BI. This Coastal Permit and Precise Development Plan Amendment approval permits the legalization of portions of the underground utility line trench excavation and conduit installation completed without a permit, new trenching and underground conduit installation through the adjacent Rosenman property, and installation of a new utility pole within the Horseshoe Hill Road right-of-way. The approval permits the installation of 710 lineal feet of a 12,000 Volt PG&E electric power transmission line and AT&T telephone lines underground from a new pole in the Horseshoe Hill Road right-of-way in a northeastern direction to the existing pole on the Rosenman property.

## **SECTION 3: CONDITIONS OF PROJECT APPROVAL**

1. The County of Marin approves the Rappaport and Rosenman Coastal Permit and Precise Development Plan Amendment (Project ID 2011-0181) pursuant to Marin County Interim Zoning Code Sections 22.56.130I (Coastal Permit) and 22.45.050.BI (Development Plan) to install electric and telephone utility lines underground. The subject properties are located at 330 Horseshoe Hill Road, Bolinas and are further identified as Assessor's Parcels 188-140-69 and -70, and 188-140-21.
2. Except as modified herein, plans submitted for an Encroachment Permit and Electrical Permit for the approved project shall substantially conform to plans on file in the Marin County Community Development Agency (CDA), Planning Division, identified as EXHIBIT A, "Utilities Undergrounding" consisting of six sheets prepared by Stacey Nichol Ford, Architect, date stamped received March 12, 2012; and EXHIBIT B, "Sensitive Habitat Assessment and Recommendations", prepared by WRA, Inc., dated October 4, 2011; and EXHIBIT C, "Biological Resources Assessment", prepared by WRA, Inc., dated October 19, 2011.
3. BEFORE ISSUANCE OF AN ELECTRICAL PERMIT, BUILDING PERMIT, OR ENCROACHMENT PERMIT, the property owners shall record Waivers of Public Liability holding the County of Marin, other governmental agencies, and the public harmless because of loss experienced by geologic actions associated with the San Andreas Fault through the two Rappaport lots and one Rosenman lot. The Waivers of Public Liability shall be submitted to the Director for review and approval before recordation.
4. The applicant shall comply with the recommendations in EXHIBIT B and EXHIBIT C to protect natural resources, restore the site after completion of work, and provide monitoring reports to the County from WRA, Inc. for three years.

5. The applicant shall follow Best Management Practices (BMP) that address both interim (during construction) and final (post construction) stormwater pollution control measures. The Plan should follow guidelines as established in "Start at the Source," published by the Bay Area Stormwater Management Agencies Association. These measure include but are not limited to: siltation fencing, hay bales, and other drainage erosion control measures; stabilization of graded soils; hydroseeding; protection of graded soils from precipitation and runoff; and limiting construction equipment access. Ground-disturbing activities should be conducted during the dry season (May through October) to reduce the potential of soil erosion.
6. If archaeological resources are discovered during grading, trenching, or other construction activities all work at the site shall stop immediately, and the project sponsor shall inform the Marin County Environmental Coordinator of the discovery. A registered archaeologist, chosen by the County and paid for by the project sponsor, shall assess the site and shall submit a written report to the Marin County Community Development Agency Director advancing appropriate mitigations to protect the resources discovered. At the discretion of the Environmental Coordinator and consistent with CEQA, the Environmental Coordinator shall retain a Native American monitor to evaluate any cultural resource discovery for sacred values and propose protection measures appropriate to the Federated Indians of the Graton Rancheria Sacred Sites Protection Committee. No work at the site may recommence without approval of the Director. All future development of the site must be consistent with findings and recommendations of the archaeological report as approved by the Director.
7. All flashing, metal work and trim shall be an appropriately subdued, non-reflective color. All exterior lighting shall be subdued, downward directed, hooded, and the minimum lumens necessary for safety only.
8. All construction activities shall comply with the following standards:
  - a. Construction activity is only permitted between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, and 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on Saturday.. No construction shall be permitted on Sundays and the following holidays (New Year's Day, President's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day). Loud noise-generating construction-related equipment (e.g., backhoes, generators, jackhammers) can be maintained, operated, or serviced at the construction site from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday only. Minor jobs (e.g., painting, hand sanding, sweeping) with minimal or no noise impacts on the surrounding properties are exempted from the limitations on construction activity. At the applicant's request, the Community Development Agency staff may administratively authorize minor modifications to these hours of construction.
  - b. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant to ensure that all construction materials and equipment are stored on-site (or secured at an approved off-site location) and that all contractor vehicles are parked in such a manner as to permit safe passage for vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic at all times.
9. All utility connections and extensions (including but not limited to electric, communication, and cable television lines) serving the development shall be undergrounded from the

nearest overhead pole from the property, where feasible as determined by the Community Development Agency staff.

10. The applicant/owner hereby agrees to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County of Marin and its agents, officers, attorneys, or employees from any claim, action, or proceeding, against the County or its agents, officers, attorneys, or employees, to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval of this application, for which action is brought within the applicable statute of limitations.
8. During construction, the applicant shall take all appropriate measures, including watering of disturbed areas and covering the beds of trucks hauling fill to or spoils from the site, to prevent dust from grading and fill activity from depositing on surrounding properties.
9. All soils disturbed by development of the project shall be reseeded with native groundcover, grasses, wildflowers, and covered with mulch to control erosion.
10. No trees, except those approved for removal, shall be removed except to comply with local and State fire safety regulations, to prevent the spread of disease as required by the State Food and Agriculture Department, and to prevent safety hazards to people and property.
11. Any changes or additions to the project shall be submitted to the Community Development Agency in writing for review and approval before the contemplated modifications may be initiated. Construction involving modifications that do not substantially comply with the approval, as determined by the Community Development Agency staff, may be required to be halted until proper authorization for the modifications are obtained by the applicant.

#### Marin County Department of Public Works

12. Site improvement plans under the purview of Marin County Code Chapter 24 shall be prepared by, or under, the direction of a registered civil engineer and shall be wet-stamped and signed [MCC § 24.10.005(b)].
13. Provide a copy of the recorded Quitclaim for the existing utility easement proposed to be abandoned.
14. BEFORE ISSUANCE OF A BUILDING PERMIT:
  - a. The plans shall be reviewed and approved by a Registered Civil Engineer with soils engineering expertise or a Registered Geotechnical Engineer. Certification shall be either by the engineer's stamp and signature on the plans, or by stamped and signed letter.
  - b. Provide a note on plans stating that the Design Engineer and/or Architect shall certify to the County in writing prior to final inspection that all grading, drainage, and retaining wall construction was completed in accordance to approved plans and field direction. Also state that the driveway, parking, and all other site improvements shall be inspected by a DPW engineer prior to final inspection.
  - c. Provide the coordination with PG&E for proposed changes to the existing electrical main line. Any proposed cabinets and/or vaults shall be shown and labeled on the Site Plan.

- d. Provide the destination of the proposed 30-40 cubic yards of excavated soil.
- e. Submit Erosion and Siltation Control plans for during construction operations and for post construction.
- f. An Encroachment Permit shall be required for work within the Horseshoe Hill Road right-of-way. For work that has been started and/or completed without permits will require retroactive permits and all fees will be doubled [MCC § 24.30.020(b)].

**SECTION 4: VESTING AND APPEAL RIGHTS**

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the applicant must vest the Rappaport and Rosenman Coastal Permit and Precise Development Plan Amendment approval by obtaining an Encroachment Permit and Electrical Permit/Building Permit, and substantially completing the approved work before September 17, 2012, or all rights granted in this approval shall lapse unless the applicant applies for an extension at least 30 days before the expiration date and the Community Development Director approves it.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this decision is final unless appealed to the Planning Commission. A Petition for Appeal and a \$600.00 filing fee must be submitted in the Community Development Agency, Planning Division, Room 308, Marin County Civic Center, San Rafael, no later than 4:00 p.m. on May 24, 2012.

**SECTION 5: DECISION**

ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Deputy Zoning Administrator of the County of Marin, State of California, on the 17<sup>th</sup> of May 2012.

---

JEREMY TEJIRIAN  
DEPUTY ZONING ADMINISTRATOR

Attest:

---

Joyce Evans  
Deputy Zoning Administrator Secretary