Memorandum for the File

By: Tammy Taylor and Molly Kron, Senior Planners

Date: October 24, 2022

RE: Decision Regarding Re-roofing of Building B-12

MHA is proposing to remove existing composite asphalt shingle roof material from Building B-12 and replace it with matching composite asphalt shingles. The proposed project would also repair and/or replace missing or damaged roof decking or insulation, as needed (see Attachment A Section 106 Undertaking Request for photos and additional details and Attachment B for a site plan with Building B-12).

Based on the 2019 Character-Defining Feature Study, the Low-Rise Buildings (Types B, C, and E) were originally clad in wood shingles and replaced with composite shingles in 1993, outside the property’s period of significance. The Character-Defining Feature Study does not list the existing composite shingle roofing as a character-defining feature of the historic district (ICF 2019:4-6, 5-53, 5-57, 5-58). The 2017 National Register nomination form notes that the historic district’s buildings’ “roofs were originally cedar shingled yet are now covered with composition shingles of a dark brown color.” The National Register nomination form does not call out the existing composition roof cladding as a character-defining feature or as a contributing element of the historic district (Ruark 2017). MHA has coordinated with the County, and the County has determined that the replacement of Building B-12’s existing composite asphalt shingle roof with in-kind composite asphalt shingle roof materials would not affect the integrity of the historic property under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. This decision is dependent on the understanding that the scope of work would not change, add additional features, or make other alterations to the property as part of this project. This memo provides administrative recordation of this decision and no further environmental review is necessary.
Memorandum for the File

By: Marin Housing Authority

Date: October 18, 2022

RE: Decision Regarding Re-roofing of Building B-12

MHA is proposing to remove existing composite asphalt shingle roof material from Building B-12 and replace it with matching composite asphalt shingles. The proposed project would also repair and/or replace missing or damaged roof decking or insulation, as needed (see Attachment A Section 106 Undertaking Request for photos and additional details and Attachment B for a site plan with Building B-12).

Based on the 2019 Character-Defining Feature Study, the Low-Rise Buildings (Types B, C, and E) were originally clad in wood shingles and replaced with composite shingles in 1993, outside the property’s period of significance. The Character-Defining Feature Study does not list the existing composite shingle roofing as a character-defining feature of the historic district (ICF 2019:4-6, 5-53, 5-57, 5-58). The 2017 National Register nomination form notes that the historic district’s buildings’ “roofs were originally cedar shingled yet are now covered with composition shingles of a dark brown color.” The National Register nomination form does not call out the existing composition roof cladding as a character-defining feature or as a contributing element of the historic district (Ruark 2017). MHA has coordinated with the County, and the County has determined that the replacement of Building B-12’s existing composite asphalt shingle roof with in-kind composite asphalt shingle roof materials would not affect the integrity of the historic property under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. This decision is dependent on the understanding that the scope of work would not change, add additional features, or make other alterations to the property as part of this project. This memo provides administrative recordation of this decision and no further environmental review is necessary.
References:

Golden Gate Village / Section 106

S106 Undertaking Assessment Request

FROM : MHA
TO : ICF
DATE : 9-30-22
PROJECT NAME : Re-roofing of building B-12

MHA is providing the below items and requests that ICF review the proposed scope and make recommendations for Section 106 review requirements.

X_ Work site location diagram and/or photo(s)
X_ Existing conditions photos
X_ Written scope incl. approximate schedule, plans/drawings, work site access details, anticipated equipment needs (i.e. heavy machinery)
___ Other information or documentation associated with the proposed work

Y / N Is County CDA already aware of MHA’s proposed work and ICF’s pending recommendation regarding Section 106 review needs?
   Yes – Approval of the renovation of 115 Drake Ave was granted previously, this is a part of that overall project.

SCOPE OF WORK:

Remove existing composite asphalt shingle roof material from building B-12 and replace with matching asphalt shingle material. Missing or damaged rood decking or insulation will also be repaired/replaced as needed.