

From: [Karyn Kambur](#)
To: [Drumm, Kristin](#)
Cc: karynkambur@wans.com
Subject: Blackpoint Community Plan - Response
Date: Saturday, February 20, 2016 11:21:54 AM

Dear County Supervisors,

I am writing in response to the newly formed Greenpoint neighborhood group formed under the leadership of a few local residents, and also to state my support for the updated proposed Blackpoint Community Plan

I have attended each of the meetings of the newly formed Greenpoint neighborhood group led by Roberta Deprite. I also attended some of the initial Committee planning meetings held at the Atherton Firehouse, as well as the last and most recent one on Thursday, Feb. 18, 2016.

The new 'Greenpoint group' team has spearheaded a scare tactic campaign in the neighborhood in an effort to convince the Greenpoint homeowners they "Need Saving." This scare tactic and numerous accusations and innuendos resulted in numerous signatures on a petition to separate Greenpoint from Blackpoint.

The group claims that each attendee at the meetings is a supporter of 'the cause,' even though many in the room clearly did not agree with the group's platform. They claim to have 100 signed petitions (letters) which I believe are meant to carry weight with the County Supervisors regardless of the scare tactics used to garner them. I do believe that after the last "Greenpoint" meeting, many neighbors felt they were duped into signing the petition and initially supporting their unclear agenda. Many may signed the petition in haste without full knowledge of the issues, or lack therefore, at hand. I'd like to encourage the County Supervisors to consider the manner in which those signatures were acquired and possibly inquire directly to each homeowner regarding specific concerns, if you believe these signed petitions have any merit.

I attended the Blackpoint/Greenpoint Community Plan Advisory Committee Meeting on 2/18/16. Whether there is agreement or not on the points from those in attendance, few points stood out and I thought they are worth a mention;

The newly formed group "Greenpoint Association/Advisory Committee" (they use interchangeable names when speaking and representing the group), is making recommendations and points under the guise that this is what "GREENPOINT" homeowners want. However, I don't believe this self-appointed 'board' has conducted any formal meetings to substantiate their claim that this is what Greenpoint residents want. In fact at each meeting, the lead representative spoke for the duration of the meeting and would not allow input from the Greenpoint homeowners in attendance. The stack of signed petitions from the initial meeting is their only foundation, which we already know are coerced signatures, for their basis that this is what Greenpoint homeowners want. In fact, I believe this newly formed group is falsely representing the Greenpoint property owners under this name.

With this said, I think it is completely inappropriate to add this newly exclusive Association to the timeline of the proposed plan. They are not an "Association" with a point in history that has had any impact (in a positive way) on the area as of yet. As ridiculous as this may seem, I can create another

Greenpoint Association, as well and make additional proposals and respectfully request to be also added to the new Plan. I also believe, the newly formed “Greenpoint Association” will cause additional confusion insofar that it will be increasingly difficult to recognize and understand what issues are being supported by the greater community.

During the 2/18/16 meeting, few constructive points or substantiated issues in the proposed Plan were identified by this new “Greenpoint group”. In fact, the few issues made, had no substance and in the end they appeared to back down from their original position on any point. One representative spent the bulk of her speaking time interrogating the Advisory Committee, rather than providing constructive and proactive input into the Plan. The point that seemed to resonate was the name of the ‘Plan’ as there was no mention of Greenpoint in the current title. On this I may agree, mostly so as to eliminate any confusion as to the areas the new Plan will cover.

I had other takeaways from the numerous meetings, but these are the ones that stand out as it relates to the proposed Plan and the possible recognition of a small group of homeowners operating under the guise of representing all homeowner of Greenpoint.

This new group has yet to show how they are representing the neighborhood (in a positive way). Rather, I believe they are representing themselves and their yet to be determined agenda.

Like Roberta Deprite (member of the newly formed Greenpoint group, I am a Realtor with Coldwell Banker. During the initial meeting, numerous suggestions were made that our home values will diminish substantially under the proposed plan and by operating under the name of Blackpoint. I cannot disagree more as there is nothing to suggest this to be the case. Rather, I believe Greenpoint home values are strong and will remain strong, similar to many areas across Marin and the Bay Area. And with the newly adopted proposed plan, Greenpoint will continue to thrive and remain a highly sought after area to live.

I have lived in Marin County since 1972; in Los Ranchitos, moved to Novato in 1982 and Greenpoint in 1992. I have read the proposed plan in its entirety. I fully support the recommendations made by the Blackpoint Advisory Committee and support their recommendations for home size and setbacks, as well as a name inclusive of Blackpoint AND Greenpoint. I do not support the notion of 2 separate plans. The proposed plan addresses the unique characteristics of Blackpoint and that of the Greenpoint neighborhood.

Respectfully submitted;

Karyn Kambur
1 Sutton Lane
Novato CA 94945
415.516.3221
karynkambur@wans.com

