

**UPPER ROSS VALLEY PLANNING AREA**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS**



Thursday, March 1, 2012  
8:00 p.m.

Corte Madera Town Hall, Council Chambers  
300 Tamalpais Drive  
Corte Madera

**A G E N D A**

1. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Staff Report: Recommendations for Project Funding
2. Public Comments on CDBG Project Proposals
  - A. Housing Projects
  - B. Public Service Projects
3. Action by Upper Ross Valley Local Area Committee: Recommendations to Countywide Priority Setting Committee for Planning Area Projects
  - A. Housing Projects
  - B. Public Service Projects
4. Open Time for Public Comment on Matters Not on the Agenda

Future Hearings

March 29	Countywide Priority Setting Committee (CDBG Countywide Housing Projects, HOME Program Projects, and Ratification of CDBG Planning Area Recommendations) Board of Supervisors Chambers Marin County Civic Center, Room 330 3501 Civic Center Drive, San Rafael	7:00 p.m.
May 8	Marin County Board of Supervisors Board of Supervisors Chambers Marin County Civic Center, Room 330 3501 Civic Center Drive, San Rafael	(time to be determined)

If you have questions about the public hearing, please call Roy Bateman at 473-6698 at the Marin County Community Development Agency. People using TTY devices may reach us at 473-3232 (TTY) or through the California Relay Service at 711. In consideration of persons with environmental sensitivities, please do not wear perfume or other fragrances. Sign language interpretation and translation into languages other than English are available upon request. Please call our office at 473-6279, at least three days in advance of the public hearing you want to attend, if you need language translation, a sign language interpreter, an assistive listening device, or other reasonable accommodation. Call Golden Gate Transit (455-2000, 257-4554 TDD) for transit information.



The Consolidated Plan, Annual Action Plans, Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Reports, records regarding past use of Community Development Block Grant, HOME Investment Partnerships Program, and Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program funds, the Civil Rights Policy, the Residential Antidisplacement and Relocation Assistance Plan, the Nondiscrimination Policy, and program files are available for inspection at the Marin County Community Development Agency, 899 Northgate Drive, Room 408, San Rafael, California. Copies of documents are available in accessible formats upon request.

## MEMORANDUM

### MARIN COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

TO: UPPER ROSS VALLEY LOCAL AREA COMMITTEE

FROM: Roy Bateman  
Reid Thaler

SUBJECT: Recommendations for Funding Year 38 (2012-13)  
Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Proposals

DATE: February 25, 2012

---



The recommendations from Community Development Block Grant staff for funding projects in the Upper Ross Valley Planning Area are listed below. These recommendations will be presented and considered at the Upper Ross Valley Area public hearing on Thursday, March 1, 2012, at 8:00 p.m., at the Corte Madera Town Hall Council Chambers, 300 Tamalpais Drive, Corte Madera.

Please note that if you are planning to attend both the Lower Ross Valley and Upper Ross Valley CDBG hearings, they will be held in the same location on the same evening. The Lower Ross Valley hearing is scheduled to begin at 7:00 p.m., and the Upper Ross Valley hearing is scheduled to begin at 8:00 p.m.

#### A NOTE ON THE CDBG FUNDING LEVEL

##### The Basics

Last year, Marin County's CDBG allocation was \$1,440,542, and our HOME Program allocation was \$1,084,869. For the 2012-13 program year, Marin's CDBG allocation is being cut by 19%, with an expected grant amount of \$1,166,041. Marin's HOME allocation is being cut 46%, with an expected grant amount of \$594,462.

To put this in perspective, if we account for inflation, the buying power of the expected 2012-13 CDBG grant is only 17.6% of the \$2,427,000 CDBG allocation that Marin received in 1980.

##### Spending Deadlines

The ability to spend funds quickly has become increasingly important. Under pressure from Congress, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is becoming more aggressive about taking CDBG funds away from communities that can't

spend them fast enough. HUD takes sanctions if, on the annual test date in late April, a community has unspent CDBG funds that exceed 1.5 times its annual CDBG grant amount. As our CDBG grant declines, the amount of unspent funds we can hold also declines.

Administrative Expenses Likely to Exceed Limit

It is becoming more of a challenge to operate the CDBG program within the administrative allowance set by the regulations. CDBG regulations limit administrative expenses to 20% of available funds. The list below shows the trend in the percentage of CDBG funds spent for administration in recent years:

Fiscal Year	Percentage of CDBG Funds Spent for Administration
1999-2000	12.97%
2000-01	15.86%
2001-02	17.57%
2002-03	13.21%
2003-04	11.09%
2004-05	13.09%
2005-06	15.13%
2006-07	16.62%
2007-08	19.50%
2008-09	16.74%
2009-10	19.87%
2010-11	20.00%*

\*The 2010-2011 entry includes \$65,500 booked as an unliquidated obligation for accrued liability for future retiree health benefits, which has the effect of reducing our administrative percentage in the future.

Administrative, monitoring, and regulatory requirements from the federal government have been increasing. Congress wants greater accountability and more recordkeeping, and as HUD enhances its computer system, we are asked to feed that system more information. Changes in government accounting standards and local accounting systems require more complex work to assure that transactions are posted correctly, almost doubling our cost of accounting services. We need to contribute our share of the cost to build a reserve for retiree health benefits. The CDBG Program has cut staff hours, reducing staff from 3 to 2.5 FTE, which has reduced costs but did not affect workload. One way to reduce the administrative workload to match the reduction in staff hours would be to reduce the number of projects we have to administer. If we reduce the number of projects, we will reduce the volume of project contracts, project monitoring reports, invoice processing, and accounting so that our workload can better match our reduced staffing level.

There are certain fixed costs of basic HUD compliance, reporting, and monitoring of past projects. There are no indications that Congress or HUD will reduce the administrative requirements they impose on localities. There are also variable costs for contract

administration, reporting, invoice processing, and accounting, which are largely dependent on the number and complexity of projects we fund.

The CDBG program regulations allow us to spend 20% of available grant funds on administrative costs (including staff, rent, overhead, and office expenses). In that formula, available grant funds also include revenue ("program income") received from past projects, such as repayments of loans made by the Rehabilitation Loan Program and payments in conjunction with the sale of properties that were purchased or improved with CDBG funds.

If present trends continue, we may be only a year from the point where the CDBG grant's allowance for administration won't cover the full cost of CDBG administrative expenses, depending on how much program income we receive. We estimate that, for the 2012-13 program year, the CDBG administrative expenses incurred by the County will, for the first time, exceed the grant's administrative allowance. Because the program income component of the formula varies from year to year, it is difficult to predict the administrative shortfall. We expect that the range will be somewhere between \$3,000 and \$49,000, with the most likely value approximately \$33,000. Because the CDBG program is operated by the County, the County is solely responsible for this financial exposure and would have to absorb any excess expenses.

#### Implications for Public Service Projects

CDBG public services are limited to 15% of the grant. Particularly during the recession, staff would be reluctant to suggest eliminating the public service category. There is a strong case for funding public services at the full 15% allowed, but concentrating the funds among a reduced number of projects. If we maintain public services at 15% of the grant, then reducing the number of public service projects would not change the total funds provided for public services, but would result in a higher average grant amount per project.

#### Reducing the Overall Number of Projects

Over the last 12 years, the number of CDBG projects funded each year has fluctuated between 36 and 52 projects per year. Last year, Marin funded 38 CDBG projects.

If we were to scale down the number of CDBG projects in proportion to the decline in funds, that would mean reducing the number of projects from 38 to 31. That may not be enough to shrink administrative expenses to the point where they will be covered by the administrative allowance provided by the grant. Administrative costs are not strictly proportional to the number of projects, partly because there is a fixed cost for meeting HUD planning and reporting requirements. In order to bring actual CDBG administrative costs in balance with the administration allowance provided by the CDBG grant, we might have to reduce the number of projects by 1½ times the grant reduction, or 28.5%. In that scenario, we would need to reduce the number of CDBG projects from 38 to 27.

***At its February 16, 2012 meeting, the CDBG Countywide Priority Setting Committee set a goal of reducing the number of CDBG projects from 38 to 27, instructed County staff to make all reasonable efforts in its budget recommendations to achieve that goal, and asked all Planning Areas to join in this difficult process.***

Recommendations for Upper Ross Valley

The staff recommendations for the use of CDBG Upper Ross Valley Planning Area funds are shown in the table on page 6.

HUD made a special effort to announce grant allocations early in this funding round, but there is always the possibility that HUD will announce minor changes in the County's grant amount later in the process. To avoid the need for an additional hearing, staff recommends that the grant amounts set at the Upper Ross Valley Local Area Hearing be subject to change if HUD revises our CDBG formula allocation, so that the final funding amounts will be proportional to the amounts shown in this report. Where feasible, staff would then round numbers to the nearest hundred dollars. However, no project could receive more than the amount the sponsor requested.

A supplemental table on page 13 lists all the applications received and the amount staff recommends for each project, as well as some additional information about the equal opportunity impact of each proposal. In view of the commitments the County has made in the Implementation Plan for its Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice, we are including information about the extent to which racial and ethnic minorities are being served by potential projects, and ratings of each applicant's affirmative marketing plans. Affirmative marketing is a process by which an organization analyzes which racial and ethnic groups are least likely to apply for its services, followed by targeted marketing efforts to reach those "least likely to apply" groups. (Please note that federal guidelines recognize Hispanic status as an ethnicity, not as a race.)

For projects which have previously received CDBG funding, we have included the percentage of clients who are racial minorities and the percentage of clients who are Hispanic, based on reports that have been previously filed by the sponsors. For new proposals, not previously funded by CDBG, we were not able to report this information, and have noted "new" in the data columns.

For all proposals, we have included a staff evaluation of the sponsor's answer to the affirmative marketing question on the CDBG application. An "A" grade indicates that the applicant analyzed which racial and ethnic groups are least likely to apply for its project, and clearly stated how they would market their project specifically to the "least likely to apply" groups. A "B" grade indicates that the applicant was responsive to the question, but was not specific enough in its analysis of which racial and ethnic groups are least likely to apply, was too general in its proposed affirmative marketing activities, or proposed weak affirmative marketing actions. A "C" grade indicates that the applicant was not responsive to the question. Because this is the first year that the answer to this question is receiving increased scrutiny, many applicants are still on a learning curve. In

some cases, the quality of an applicant's response to the affirmative marketing question is very different from its actual affirmative marketing performance. It is also possible for a project to be very effective in serving a particular minority group but to be less effective in affirmatively marketing its services to others.

#### Reprogramming of Previously Allocated Funds

At its January 1992 meeting, the CDBG Priority Setting Committee decided that unspent CDBG balances allocated to projects over two years ago should be considered for reallocation ("reprogramming") to other projects which may be in greater need of the funds. In compliance with this policy, CDBG staff has sent the required 30-day notices to all project sponsors with CDBG funds which were allocated two years ago or earlier, as well as to newer projects which have been moving slowly, so that the Committee would have the option of reprogramming these funds. In the Upper Ross Valley Planning Area, a 30-day notice was sent to one project. A detailed analysis of that project is presented below:

#### Deer Park School Rehabilitation (Fairfax-San Anselmo Children's Center)

(2007-08)	\$2,622.69
(2008-09)	7,000.00
(2009-10)	<u>20,000.00</u>
TOTAL	\$29,622.69

In 2007, 2008, and 2009, CDBG funds were allocated for rehabilitation of Deer Park School, a former school which the Fairfax-San Anselmo Children's Center leases from the Ross Valley School District for use as a child care center. The school district's enrollment has increased, creating significant overcrowding, and the school district had been considering different options for increasing the number of classrooms. Last year, the school district decided not to re-open Deer Park School. The Children's Center is currently negotiating a new lease with the school district. Since the Children's Center may be able to continue using the Deer Park site, staff recommends that the CDBG funds be held for this project. However, if the Children's Center is not able to renew its lease, and if the CDBG program is at risk of losing CDBG funds due to HUD's annual timely spending test in April, staff recommends that the funds be reprogrammed to another project that is more ready to proceed, to be determined by the Countywide Priority Setting Committee if necessary at its March 29, 2012 meeting. (See "Spending Deadlines" on pages 1-2.)

**RECOMMENDED COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDING ALLOCATIONS FOR THE UPPER ROSS VALLEY PLANNING AREA**

<b>Housing</b>	Lifeshouse: San Anselmo House-rehabilitation	Lifeshouse Inc	1036 Sir Francis Drake Blvd., San Anselmo 94960	Rehabilitate group home-roof	\$12,500
	Rehabilitation Loan Program	Marin Housing Authority	4020 Civic Center Drive, San Rafael 94903	Staff salaries to provide residential rehabilitation loans	\$16,489
					<b>\$28,989</b>

**Capital** \$0

<b>Public Service</b>	After School Transportation Program	Fairfax-San Anselmo Children's Center	199 Porteous Avenue, Fairfax 94930	Staff salaries	\$4,800
	Family Law Legal Services	Family & Children's Law Center	30 North San Pedro Road, Suite 245, San Rafael 94903	Legal assistance for low income families	\$2,000
	Housing Search Specialist	Marin Housing Authority	4020 Civic Center Drive, San Rafael 94903	Staff salary for housing search specialist	\$1,200
	Marin Brain Injury Network Services	Marin Brain Injury Network	1132 Magnolia Avenue, Larkspur 94939	Staff salaries for services for head injury survivors	\$2,100
	Senior Access Scholarships	Senior Access	70 Skyview Terrace, Bldg. B, San Rafael 94903	Scholarships, elderly day care	\$2,181
					<b>\$12,281</b>
			<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$41,270</b>

**Total Available for Planning Area \$41,270**

**Maximum Lower Ross Valley funds \$12,281**

**Minimum Upper Ross Valley Planning Area funds required for Housing (County policy requires each planning area to allocate at least 30% of its funds for housing.) \$12,381**

## HOUSING PROJECTS

1. Lifehouse San Anselmo House (Lifehouse, Inc.)

San Anselmo House is a group home, owned and operated by Lifehouse, which serves six developmentally disabled adults in an independent living setting. CDBG funding was allocated last year to replace rotting wood composite siding on the rear addition to the house, and that work should begin soon. The roof was built in three sections. The middle section is in good condition, but the front and rear sections have deteriorated and should be replaced within the next two years. Lifehouse is seeking additional CDBG funds this year to replace the front and rear portions of the roof. The recommended funding should be sufficient to replace the deteriorated sections of the roof.

Equal Opportunity Analysis: Racial and ethnic minorities are under-represented among the beneficiaries of this program. The sponsor's affirmative marketing proposal is responsive (rated B).

2. Rehabilitation Loan Program (Marin Housing Authority)

There is an ongoing need for rehabilitation loans for lower income homeowners in the Upper Ross Valley area. Over the past thirty-six years, the Housing Authority has made 119 rehabilitation loans totaling over \$2.1 million in the Upper Ross Valley area. The program operates on a countywide basis. Loans are made available to owners of single-family homes to correct substandard housing conditions, to eliminate health and safety hazards, to create second units within an existing house where permitted by local ordinance, for rehabilitation of houseboats docked at approved berths, and for mobile homes located within a mobile home park. New loans range from \$5,000 to a usual limit of \$35,000, with an average of \$25,000. Loan terms are set according to the borrower's situation. The program offers amortized loans, interest-only loans, and deferred payment loans (with no payments due until the property is transferred). New loans are being made at a 5% interest rate.

Seventeen years ago, in response to a local policy limiting the number of CDBG projects, the Housing Authority expanded the scope of this program to include non-profit-operated group homes serving special populations. The program offers group homes deferred payment loans at 3% interest, with no payments due until the use or ownership of the house changes. This has enabled the CDBG program to continue to assist the rehabilitation of group homes while reducing the administrative burden on the CDBG office. Involvement of the Housing Authority as intermediary also gives group homes the benefit of the knowledge of the rehabilitation experts on the staff of the Housing Authority.



The Gates Cooperative is a liveaboard houseboat community within Waldo Point Harbor. After Waldo Point Harbor receives County permits, staff recommends that the Rehabilitation Loan Program help Gates Cooperative members upgrade their boats to meet code standards so that they can qualify for berths in the new Waldo Point Harbor. Staff of the Rehabilitation Loan Program have been working closely with the Gates Cooperative and EAH, Inc. to carefully plan the details of houseboat rehabilitation for the Gates Cooperative. Once permits have been obtained for the Gates Cooperative, CDBG staff recommends that the Rehabilitation Loan Program make a major shift in its activities so that it can devote most of its efforts to the rehabilitation of Gates houseboats. During the period of Gates rehabilitation, the Program's activity with single-family houses and group homes would be limited.

The recommended funding would be used towards the cost of staff and other operating expenses of the Rehabilitation Loan Program. The program's loans are funded from a revolving loan fund. Revenue from monthly loan payments and repaid loans is expected to total \$350,000 in program income for the 2012-13 program year, and will be added to the revolving loan fund and used to make additional loans.

Equal Opportunity Analysis: Racial and ethnic minorities are under-represented among the beneficiaries of this program, partly because racial and ethnic minorities are under-represented among homeowners and the elderly. A large fraction of the program's beneficiaries are elderly homeowners. However, the sponsor's affirmative marketing proposal is excellent (rated A).

The Lifehouse San Anselmo House is being recommended for the requested amount. The Rehabilitation Loan Program is being recommended for less than the requested amount.

Staff did not recommend funding for the proposed Peace Village Senior Housing on the Christ Lutheran Church site in Fairfax. The Peace Village proposal would require more CDBG funds to move forward than are available at our reduced grant level. Because CDBG funds can no longer be used for predevelopment costs, it does not appear that CDBG funding would be useable at this stage of the project. The Peace Village proposal will also be considered for HOME Program funds, although there is very serious competition for HOME funding this year.

### CAPITAL PROJECTS

There were no capital project proposals in the Upper Ross Valley this year.

## PUBLIC SERVICE PROJECTS

1. After-School Transportation Program (Fairfax-San Anselmo Children's Center)

The Fairfax-San Anselmo Children's Center transportation service brings children of low income households to and from school so they can participate in the Center's before- and after-school programs. The Center serves 125 children in the Upper Ross Valley, all of whom are from low income families. The proposed funds would be used for salaries for part-time drivers and for van maintenance expenses. Before school, this project provides breakfast and transportation to school for children of low income working parents. After school, this program also helps working parents by picking up 72 children at school and transporting them to after-school care at the Center. This program allows low income parents to leave for work before school begins in the morning, and to continue working after the end of the school day.

Equal Opportunity Analysis: Racial minorities are slightly under-represented among the beneficiaries of this program, but Hispanic clients are very well-represented. The sponsor's affirmative marketing proposal is responsive (rated B).

2. Family Law Legal Services (Family and Children's Law Center)

The Family and Children's Law Center provides low-cost legal assistance to people who are unable to afford private legal services and need help with family law issues including divorce, domestic violence, spousal and child support, and child custody. Fees are on a sliding scale basis according to income. CDBG funds would be used towards staff salaries. CDBG staff feels that the expenditure of CDBG funds for this program is an extremely cost-effective way of providing substantial long-term emotional and financial benefits to families.

Equal Opportunity Analysis: Racial minorities are under-represented among the beneficiaries of this program, but Hispanic clients are very well-represented. The sponsor's affirmative marketing proposal is excellent (rated A).

3. Housing Search Specialist (Marin Housing Authority)

The Section 8 program, funded by HUD and administered locally by the Marin Housing Authority, reimburses landlords for the difference between fair market rents, established by HUD, and 30% of a participating household's income.

The Housing Search Specialist helps recipients of Section 8 rent subsidy vouchers to overcome barriers to securing, as well as maintaining, affordable housing. The Housing Search Specialist, working primarily with "hard to house" households, continues to make a significant impact on this population. These households include homeless families, large families with more than three children, elderly people,

individuals with language barriers, and people with disabilities. In cases where clients are in jeopardy of losing their housing due to issues related to their disabilities, the Housing Search Specialist can link the clients to extra support services and other resources which enable the clients to avoid losing their apartments. Assistance has included helping clients search for available apartments, coaching on presentation skills, advising on how to overcome a poor credit or rental history, and advocacy with landlords.

Equal Opportunity Analysis: Racial minorities are very well represented among the beneficiaries of this program. Hispanics are under-represented compared to their proportion of the Marin population, but that might be partly explained by the federal policy excluding undocumented immigrants from the Section 8 program. The sponsor's affirmative marketing proposal is excellent (rated A).

4. Marin Brain Injury Network

The Marin Brain Injury Network offers support groups for people with traumatic head injuries. This program is the only service which addresses the needs of this population in Marin County. In 1995, the program expanded to include day treatment services, which provide cognitive and vocational rehabilitation for its brain injured clients. It is extremely difficult to fund services for people affected by traumatic brain injury, since no long-term source of government funding exists to provide rehabilitation and vocational services for people with this disability. In 1997, the Network received certification from the California Department of Rehabilitation, which has reimbursed the Network for some of the services it provides. However, because these funds are available only for specific clients on a short-term basis, they do not provide the Network with a reliable steady stream of funding for long-term clients. The Network is not currently receiving any funding from the Department of Rehabilitation. CDBG funds are recommended towards staff salaries.

Equal Opportunity Analysis: Racial and ethnic minorities are under-represented among the beneficiaries of this program. We do not have information about whether racial and ethnic minorities are under-represented among those who survive brain injuries. The sponsor's affirmative marketing proposal is responsive (rated B).

5. Senior Access

Senior Access benefits frail elderly people and handicapped adults, who, because of their memory loss, are unable to gain access to and utilize existing community resources designed for the more independent elderly. Services include physical therapy, nursing and social work services, recreational activities, and a hot noon meal. The program also provides respite, support, and counseling for family members who serve as caregivers. CDBG funds will be used for scholarships for

clients who have incomes substantially below the CDBG income limits. This project has been funded through the CDBG program since 1982, and staff recommends continued funding for this program.

Equal Opportunity Analysis: Racial and ethnic minorities are under-represented among the beneficiaries of this program, partly because racial and ethnic minorities are under-represented among the elderly. The sponsor's affirmative marketing proposal is responsive (rated B).

Staff recommends that we continue to fund public services at the maximum level permitted by the CDBG regulations (15% of the grant, plus 15% of any income returned to the program), but that we fund fewer projects. A reduction in the number of public service projects does not diminish the amount of public service funding, and actually results in a higher average grant in the public service category.

In the Upper Ross Valley, staff is recommending five public service projects for funding this year, one less than was funded last year. (The Food Bank was funded last year, but did not file an application this year.) Except for the After-School Transportation Program (Fairfax-San Anselmo Children's Center), all of the recommended public services in the Upper Ross Valley Planning Area are multi-area projects that staff is also recommending for funding in at least one other planning area.

In formulating our recommendations for which public services should be funded, staff considered four questions:

- To what extent does the program serve racial and ethnic minorities or people with disabilities, and does the sponsor have a reasonable affirmative marketing plan?
- Does CDBG represent a significant percentage of the project sponsor's total budget?
- Does the project sponsor have difficulty fundraising because the nature of its service is upsetting to some potential donors?
- In the context of other available resources, which projects can have the most lasting impact with a small amount of CDBG funds?

These four considerations led us to the conclusion that we should recommend continued funding for the After-School Transportation Program, Marin Brain Injury Network, Senior Access, the Housing Search Specialist, and the Family and Children's Law Center.

Marin Grassroots has withdrawn its Carnival of Cultures Festival proposal.

Staff also considered the other request for public service funding, Home Connection of Marin, but did not find it to be sufficiently compelling to recommend for funding in a year when our grant is 19% less than last year's amount and we expect that our

administrative expenses will exceed the amount the grant allows. Instead, staff recommended funding for the Housing Search Specialist, which is also sponsored by the Marin Housing Authority.

The Brain Injury Network and Senior Access both have an inherent limitation on their fundraising potential because some potential donors fear becoming disabled. A small amount of assistance from the Housing Search Specialist or the Family Law Center at a crucial time can have a long-term impact on a family's financial status.

The recommended grants would reinforce the role of CDBG as a source of funds for public services which meet important needs, have limited access to other funding, meet equal opportunity criteria, and are generally provided by small nonprofits. Given the small amount of funds we have available and the flexibility of the CDBG regulations in the public service category, this would seem to be an appropriate niche for CDBG.

**UPPER ROSS VALLEY PLANNING AREA**

TYPE	PROJECT #	PROJECT NAME	If previously funded, data reported:		Quality of Affirmative Marketing Plan	REQUEST	Recommended New Funds
			% Racial Minorities	% Hispanic			
Housing	UH - 1	Lifhouse: San Anselmo House-rehabilitation	0%	0%	B	\$12,500	\$12,500
	UH - 2	Peace Village	New	New	A	\$1,300,000	
	UH - 3	Rehabilitation Loan Program	10%	0%	A	\$35,000	\$16,489
						<b>\$1,347,500</b>	<b>\$28,989</b>

**Capital** There were no capital project proposals this year. \$0

<b>Public Service</b>	US - 1	After School Transportation Program	11%	74%	B	\$18,830	\$4,800			
	US - 2	Carnival of Cultures Festival (withdrawn)	New	New	B	\$2,000				
	US - 3	Family Law Legal Services	8%	35%	A	\$12,000	\$2,000			
	US - 4	Home Connection of Marin	New	New	A	\$2,400				
	US - 5	Housing Search Specialist	43%	10%	A	\$2,500	\$1,200			
	US - 6	Marin Brain Injury Network Services	3%	3%	B	\$14,000	\$2,100			
	US - 7	Senior Access Scholarships	9%	9%	B	\$7,000	\$2,181			
Marin County, 2010 Census						<b>14%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,406,230</b>	<b>\$12,281</b>

**Total Available for Planning Area** \$41,270

**Maximum Lower Ross Valley funds available for Public Services** \$12,281

**Minimum Upper Ross Valley Planning Area funds required for Housing (County policy requires each planning area to allocate at least 30% of its funds for housing.)** \$12,381